

ADVANCES IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS 10, 270–277 (1989)

A History-Dependent Random Sequence Defined by Ulam*

M. KAC[†]*Rockefeller University, New York, 10021*

Ulam has defined a history-dependent random sequence of integers $\{a_n\}$ by the recursion $a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{X(n)}$, $a_1 = 1$, $P\{X(n) = K\} = n^{-1}$, and $K = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is shown that the expectation of a_n is asymptotic to $\exp(2\sqrt{n})$ and that the expectation of a_n^2 is asymptotic to $\exp[\sqrt{2[5 + \sqrt{17}]} \sqrt{n}]$. The methods of generating functions and steepest descent are used. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

1. Let $X(n)$ be independent random variables such that

$$P\{X(n) = K\} = 1/n, \quad K = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and let

$$a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{X(n)}, \quad a_1 = 1.$$

We have

$$m_{n+1} = E(a_{n+1}) = m_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n m_K,$$

or

$$nm_{n+1} = nm_n + \sum_{K=1}^n m_K. \quad (1)$$

*This note is a faithful reproduction of the unclassified Los Alamos Report LA-4289, UC-32, Mathematics and Computers TID-4500. It was written in October 1969 and distributed in March 1970. Although it does not appear that the author ever intended to publish it in a journal (he died in 1985), the editors think that the readers will appreciate this short display of Kac's computational virtuosity.

[†]Deceased.

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n z^{n-1} = m_1 + m_2 z + m_3 z^2 + \cdots,$$

and note that Eq. (1) gives

$$f'(z) = \frac{d}{dz}(zf(z)) + \frac{1}{1-z}f(z) = zf' + f + \frac{1}{1-z}f.$$

Thus,

$$(1-z)f' = \left(1 + \frac{1}{1-z}\right)f$$

and

$$f' = \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2}\right)f,$$

and hence (using the initial condition $a_1 (= m_1) = 1$),

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} e^{z/(1-z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^{(1)}(1) z^n, \quad (2)$$

where $L_n^{(1)}(t)$ are familiar Laguerre polynomials. Elementary application of the method of steepest descent gives

$$\log m_n \sim 2\sqrt{n}.$$

2. One can also calculate the second moment. We have

$$a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{X(n)}$$

and

$$a_{n+1}^2 = a_n^2 + a_{X(n)}^2 + 2a_n a_{X(n)},$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n+1} &= E\{a_{n+1}^2\} = \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n E\{a_n a_K\} \\ &= \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \frac{2}{n} \sigma_n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{K=1}^{n-1} E\{a_n a_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{K=1}^{n-1} E\{a_n a_K\}, \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 2,$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n+1} &= \sum_{K=1}^n E\{a_{n+1} a_K\} \\ &= \sum_{K=1}^n E\{(a_n + a_{X(n)}) a_K\} = \sum_{K=1}^n E\{a_n a_K\} + \sum_{K=1}^n E\{a_{X(n)} a_K\} \\ &= \sigma_n + \alpha_n + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{K=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n E\{a_l a_K\} \\ &= \sigma_n + \alpha_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq K < l \leq n} E\{a_K a_l\} \\ &= \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \alpha_n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_l. \end{aligned}$$

A subtle point is involved here. What we use is the chain of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} E\{a_{X(n)} a_K\} &= \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{n} E\{a_{X(n)} a_K | X(n) = l\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n E\{a_l a_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

But this is true only if $K \leq n$. To see what is involved, it is enough to consider

$$E\{a_{X(n)} a_K | X(n) = l\},$$

which, for $K \leq n$, is indeed $E\{a_l a_K\}$. However, suppose that $K = n + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E\{a_{X(n)} a_{n+1} | X(n) = l\} &= E\{a_{X(n)} (a_n + a_{X(n)}) | X(n) = l\} \\ &= E\{a_l a_n\} + E\{a_l^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

while ($l \leq n$),

$$\begin{aligned} E\{a_l a_{n+1}\} &= E\{a_l a_n\} + E\{a_l a_{X(n)}\} \\ &= E\{a_l a_n\} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n E\{a_l a_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n+1} &= \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \frac{2}{n} \sigma_n + \frac{2}{n} \alpha_n, \\ \alpha_{n+1} &= \sigma_n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \sigma_K + \alpha_n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n \alpha_k.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n z^{n-1}$$

and

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^{n-1},$$

obtaining

$$g' = (zg)' + \frac{1}{1-z}g + 2g + 2h, \quad g(0) = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$h' = (zh)' + \frac{2}{1-z}h + (zg)' + \frac{1}{1-z}g, \quad h(0) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) is, after a few transformations,

$$\begin{aligned}h' &= \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{2}{(1-z)^2} \right) h + \frac{z}{1-z} g' + \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \right) g \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{2}{(1-z)^2} \right) h + \left(-1 + \frac{1}{1-z} \right) g' \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \right) g,\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and Eq. (3) becomes

$$g' = \left(\frac{3}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \right) g + \frac{2}{1-z} h.$$

Substituting this into Eq. (5), we obtain

$$h' = \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{2}{(1-z)^2} \right) h + \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \right) g \\ + \left(-1 + \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \left[\left(\frac{3}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \right) g + \frac{2}{1-z} h \right],$$

or, upon simplifying,

$$h' = \left(\frac{4}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right) h + \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^3} + \frac{3}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{2}{1-z} \right) g.$$

Now set

$$g(z) = G\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right), \quad \xi = \frac{1}{1-z},$$

and

$$h(z) = H\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right),$$

obtaining

$$\xi^2 G'(\xi) = (3\xi + \xi^2)G + 2\xi H,$$

$$\xi^2 H'(\xi) = (4\xi^2 - \xi)H + (\xi^3 + 3\xi^2 - 2\xi)G,$$

or

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} G'(\xi) &= \left(1 + \frac{3}{\xi}\right)G + \frac{2}{\xi}H, \\ H'(\xi) &= \left(4 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)H + \left(\xi + 3 - \frac{2}{\xi}\right)G. \end{aligned}}$$

Now,

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}(He^{-4\xi\xi}) = (\xi^2 + 3\xi - 2)G(\xi)e^{-4\xi},$$

and, since $H(1) = 0$,

$$H(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi} e^{4\xi} \int_1^\xi (\zeta^2 + 3\zeta - 2)G(\zeta)e^{-4\zeta} d\zeta.$$

Thus,

$$G'(\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{3}{\xi}\right)G + \frac{2}{\xi^2}e^{4\xi} \int_1^\xi (\zeta^2 + 3\zeta - 2)G(\zeta)e^{-4\zeta} d\zeta,$$

or

$$\xi^2 G' e^{-4\xi} = (\xi^2 + 3\xi)G e^{-4\xi} + 2 \int_1^\xi (\zeta^2 + 3\zeta - 2)G(\zeta)e^{-4\zeta} d\zeta.$$

Now set

$$G e^{-4\xi} = L(\xi),$$

so that

$$G'(\xi)e^{-4\xi} = 4L(\xi) + L'(\xi),$$

and, hence,

$$\xi^2(L'(\xi) + 4L(\xi)) = (\xi^2 + 3\xi)L(\xi) + 2 \int_1^\xi L(\zeta)(\zeta^2 + 3\zeta - 2) d\zeta.$$

Differentiating with respect to ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^2 L'' + 4\xi^2 L'(\xi) + 2\xi L'(\xi) + 8\xi L(\xi) \\ = (2\xi + 3)L(\xi) + (\xi^2 + 3\xi)L'(\xi) + 2(\xi^2 + 3\xi - 2)L(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\xi^2 L'' + (3\xi^2 - \xi)L' - (2\xi^2 - 1)L = 0.$$

Finally,

$$L''(\xi) + \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)L' - \left(2 - \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)L = 0.$$

To eliminate the first-order term, we proceed in the routine fashion by setting

$$L(\xi) = M(\xi)Q(\xi),$$

so that

$$L' = M'Q + MQ',$$

and

$$L'' = M''Q + 2M'Q' + MQ''.$$

The differential equation now becomes

$$QM'' + \left[2Q' + \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) Q \right] M' \\ + \left[\left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) Q' + Q'' - \left(2 - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \right) Q \right] M = 0,$$

or

$$M'' + [2Q'/Q + (3 - 1/\xi)] M' \\ + [(3 - 1/\xi)Q'/Q + Q''/Q - (2 - 1/\xi^2)] M = 0.$$

To eliminate the first-order term, set

$$\frac{Q'}{Q} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right), \quad Q = e^{-(3/2)\xi} \sqrt{\xi},$$

which, upon differentiation, becomes

$$\frac{QQ'' - Q'^2}{Q^2} = \frac{Q''}{Q} - \left(\frac{Q'}{Q} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\xi^2},$$

or

$$\frac{Q''}{Q} = \frac{1}{2\xi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right)^2.$$

The coefficient of M is now

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi^2} - 2 \\ = -\frac{1}{4} \left(3 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} - 2 \\ = -\left\{ \frac{17}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{1}{\xi^2} \right\},$$

and the equation for M is

$$M'' - \left\{ \frac{17}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{1}{\xi^2} \right\} M = 0.$$

Thus

$$L(\xi) = e^{-(3/2)\xi} \sqrt{\xi} M(\xi)$$

and

$$G(\xi) = e^{(5/2)\xi} \sqrt{\xi} M(\xi).$$

For large ξ ,

$$G(\xi) \sim \sqrt{\xi} e^{(5/2)\xi} e^{(\sqrt{17}/2)\xi} = \sqrt{\xi} e^{((5+\sqrt{17})/2)\xi},$$

and then, by steepest descent,

$$\log \sigma_n \sim 2 \sqrt{\frac{5 + \sqrt{17}}{2}} \sqrt{n}.$$

3. Other such history-dependent sequences are discussed in a report by Beyer, Schrandt, and Ulam [1].

REFERENCES

1. W. A. BEYER, R. G. SCHRANDT, AND S. M. ULAM, "Computer Studies of Some History-Dependent Random Processes," LA 4246, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Oct. 28, 1969.